|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **SoE Logo** | | | **Asia Pacific College**  **School of Engineering**  **Software Engineering**  **1st System Presentation Defense Verdict Form** | |
| **DATE of defense** | **Proponents** | **PROJect TITLE** | | **REMARKS** |
| **August 8, 2018** | Rosemarie L. Alejandro  Gerald Matthew C. Gecale  Shella Mae S. Manongsong  Hamill Jhon O. Marinda | Academic and Curricular Advising Management System (ACAMS) | | **Adviser :**  Engr. Stanley Glenn Brucal |
| **Panel Chair:**  Engr. Sergio Peruda, Jr. |

1. **Comments** *(for the group and software project)*
2. **For revisions** *(based on the submitted software project)*
3. **Additional requirements** *(based on the presented software project)*
4. **GRADING Rubrics (GROUP GRADE):**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Score | | | | | | |  |
|  |  | Exceptional | Very good | Good | Satisfactory | Needs improvement | incomplete | Undesirable |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| **Category** | **Criteria** | **7** | **6** | **5** | **4** | **3** | **2** | **1** | **Remarks** |
| Software application | Completeness of module based on customer requirements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Functionality of modules |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Error handling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Visual features, button and layouts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Documentation | Overall Documentation Appearance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clarity and Conciseness in writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detailed Description of Important element |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Images, Graphs and Charts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Presentation | Clarity of explanation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Visual Impact of the project work-trough |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of audio visual aids |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| response to question |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**PANEL DECISIONS:**

**PASS**

* This decision is given if all criteria for Software Application and Documentation category are rated four (4) and above with no revision and recommendations

**CONDITIONAL PASS WITH REVISIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS**.

* This decision is given if:
* Only one (1) criteria for Software Application Category is rated three (3) below.

and/or

* At least two (2) criteria for Documentation Category is rated three (3) below.

or

* Only criteria for Documentation Category has a rating of three (3) below.

**2. REDEFENSE**

* This decision is given if:
* More than one (1) criteria for Software Application Category is rated three (3) below.

**4. REPEAT.**

* This decision is given if the following are met on the second defense:
* More than one (1) criteria for Software Application Category is rated three (3) below.

1. **Recommendations/Verdict: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

*(Refer to back page for the possible verdicts. Grades to individual member to be recommended by the official panel member composed of chair, laboratory coordinator, and one member.)*

**Name of Panelist: Engr. Sergio R. Peruda , Jr. Designation : \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Signature of Panelist: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Date : August 8, 2018**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **SoE Logo** | | | **Asia Pacific College**  **School of Engineering**  **Software Engineering**  **1st System Presentation Defense Verdict Form** | |
| **DATE of defense** | **Proponents** | **PROJect TITLE** | | **REMARKS** |
| **August 8, 2018** | Rosemarie L. Alejandro  Gerald Matthew C. Gecale  Shella Mae S. Manongsong  Hamill Jhon O. Marinda | Academic and Curricular Advising Management System (ACAMS) | | **Adviser :**  Engr. Stanley Glenn Brucal |
| **Panel:**  Engr. Leonardo Samaniego, Jr. |

1. **Comments** *(for the group and software project)*
2. **For revisions** *(based on the submitted software project)*
3. **Additional requirements** *(based on the presented software project)*
4. **GRADING Rubrics (GROUP GRADE):**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Score | | | | | | |  |
|  |  | Exceptional | Very good | Good | Satisfactory | Needs improvement | incomplete | Undesirable |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| **Category** | **Criteria** | **7** | **6** | **5** | **4** | **3** | **2** | **1** | **Remarks** |
| Software application | Completeness of module based on customer requirements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Functionality of modules |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Error handling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Visual features, button and layouts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Documentation | Overall Documentation Appearance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clarity and Conciseness in writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detailed Description of Important element |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Images, Graphs and Charts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Presentation | Clarity of explanation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Visual Impact of the project work-trough |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of audio visual aids |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| response to question |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**PANEL DECISIONS:**

**PASS**

* This decision is given if all criteria for Software Application and Documentation category are rated four (4) and above with no revision and recommendations

**CONDITIONAL PASS WITH REVISIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS**.

* This decision is given if:
* Only one (1) criteria for Software Application Category is rated three (3) below.

and/or

* At least two (2) criteria for Documentation Category is rated three (3) below.

or

* Only criteria for Documentation Category has a rating of three (3) below.

**2. REDEFENSE**

* This decision is given if:
* More than one (1) criteria for Software Application Category is rated three (3) below.

**4. REPEAT.**

* This decision is given if the following are met on the second defense:
* More than one (1) criteria for Software Application Category is rated three (3) below.

1. **Recommendations/Verdict: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

*(Refer to back page for the possible verdicts. Grades to individual member to be recommended by the official panel member composed of chair, laboratory coordinator, and one member.)*

**Name of Panelist: \_\_Engr. Leonardo A. Samaniego, Jr. Designation : \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Signature of Panelist: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Date : August 8, 2018**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **SoE Logo** | | | **Asia Pacific College**  **School of Engineering**  **Software Engineering**  **1st System Presentation Defense Verdict Form** | |
| **DATE of defense** | **Proponents** | **PROJect TITLE** | | **REMARKS** |
| **August 8, 2018** | Rosemarie L. Alejandro  Gerald Matthew C. Gecale  Shella Mae S. Manongsong  Hamill Jhon O. Marinda | Academic and Curricular Advising Management System (ACAMS) | | **Adviser :**  Engr. Stanley Glenn Brucal |
| **Panel:**  Engr. Einstein Yong |

1. **Comments** *(for the group and software project)*
2. **For revisions** *(based on the submitted software project)*
3. **Additional requirements** *(based on the presented software project)*
4. **GRADING Rubrics (GROUP GRADE):**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Score | | | | | | |  |
|  |  | Exceptional | Very good | Good | Satisfactory | Needs improvement | incomplete | Undesirable |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| **Category** | **Criteria** | **7** | **6** | **5** | **4** | **3** | **2** | **1** | **Remarks** |
| Software application | Completeness of module based on customer requirements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Functionality of modules |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Error handling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Visual features, button and layouts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Documentation | Overall Documentation Appearance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clarity and Conciseness in writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detailed Description of Important element |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Images, Graphs and Charts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Presentation | Clarity of explanation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Visual Impact of the project work-trough |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of audio visual aids |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| response to question |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**PANEL DECISIONS:**

**PASS**

* This decision is given if all criteria for Software Application and Documentation category are rated four (4) and above with no revision and recommendations

**CONDITIONAL PASS WITH REVISIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS**.

* This decision is given if:
* Only one (1) criteria for Software Application Category is rated three (3) below.

and/or

* At least two (2) criteria for Documentation Category is rated three (3) below.

or

* Only criteria for Documentation Category has a rating of three (3) below.

**2. REDEFENSE**

* This decision is given if:
* More than one (1) criteria for Software Application Category is rated three (3) below.

**4. REPEAT.**

* This decision is given if the following are met on the second defense:
* More than one (1) criteria for Software Application Category is rated three (3) below.

1. **Recommendations/Verdict: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

*(Refer to back page for the possible verdicts. Grades to individual member to be recommended by the official panel member composed of chair, laboratory coordinator, and one member.)*

**Name of Panelist: \_\_ ngr. Einstein Yong Designation : \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Signature of Panelist: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Date : August 8, 2018**